Cyberlaw and Information Intermediaries

Eddan Katz
International Affairs Director
Electronic Frontier Foundation
Thai Netizen Network Workshop
July 25, 2009

Cyberlaw

- Intellectual Property
- **Telecommunications**
- Media Law
- Cybercrime
 - Internet Jurisdiction

Netizen Rights

Freedom of Expression

Due Process

Privacy

Transparency

Consumer Protection

Access to Knowledge

- Innovation
- Open Infrastructure
- Collaborative Production
- Development
- Economic Growth
- Individual Autonomy

Regulating Behavior

- developing strategies to use human or institutional intermediaries
- indirectly regulate individual behavior by changing the technology itself

Traditional Gatekeeper Role

Whistleblower

Bouncer

Chaperone

Types of Information Intermediaries

Hosting Providers

Internet Service Providers

Domain Name Registrars

Financial Intermediaries

Auction Platforms and eCommerce actors

Search Engines

Participative Web Platforms

Virtual Worlds

Distributed Computing

Social Networks

Immunity from Liability

Communications Decency Act §230 (1996)

- No provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider.
 - 1. The defendant must be a "provider or user" of an "interactive computer service."
 - 2. The cause of action asserted by the plaintiff must "treat" the defendant "as the publisher or speaker" of the harmful information at issue.
 - 3. The information must be "provided by another information content provider," i.e., the defendant must not be the "information content provider" of the harmful information at issue.

Copyright Safe Harbor

- Digital Millennium Copyright Act §512 (1998)
- Transitory Digital Network Communications
- System Cacheing
- Information Residing on Systems
- Information Location Tools

Notice & Takedown Procedure

Infringement Allegation Notice

- The name, address, and electronic signature of the complaining party [512(c)(3)(A)(i)]
- The infringing materials and their Internet location [512(c)(3)(A)(ii-iii)], or if the service provider is an "information location tool" such as a search engine, the reference or link to the infringing materials [512(d) (3)].
- Sufficient information to identify the copyrighted works [512(c)(3)(A)(iv)].
- A statement by the owner that it has a good faith belief that there is no legal basis for the use of the materials complained of [512(c)(3)(A)(v)].
- A statement of the accuracy of the notice and, under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on the behalf of the owner [512(c)(3)(A)(vi)].

Counter-Notice & Putback Proecdure

Counter-Notice Requirements

- The subscriber's name, address, phone number and physical or electronic signature [512(g)(3)(A)]
- Identification of the material and its location before removal [512(g)(3)(B)]
- A statement under penalty of perjury that the material was removed by mistake or misidentification [512(g) (3)(C)]
- Subscriber consent to local federal court jurisdiction, or if overseas, to an appropriate judicial body. [512(g)(3) (D)]

Putback

[512(g)(2)] If the copyright owner does not bring a lawsuit in district court within 14 days, the service provider is then required to restore the material to its location on its network. [512(g)(2)(C)]

Trademark Infringement

Lanham Act 32(2)

(B) Where the infringement or violation complained of is contained in or is part of paid advertising matter in a newspaper, magazine, or other similar periodical or in an electronic communication as defined in section 2510(12) of title 18, United States Code, the remedies of the owner of the right infringed or person bringing the action under section 43(a) [15 USC 1125(a)] as against the publisher or distributor of such newspaper, magazine, or other similar periodical or electronic communication shall be limited to an injunction against the presentation of such advertising matter in future issues of such newspapers, magazines, or other similar periodicals or in future transmissions of such electronic communications. The limitations of this subparagraph shall apply only to innocent infringers and innocent violators.

Netizen Rights (redux)

Freedom of Expression

Due Process

Privacy

Transparency

Consumer Protection

Freedom of Expression

Freedom of Speech

Right to Read

Chilling Effects

Democratic Culture

Anonymity

Due Process

Notice of Procedure

Specificity of Claims

Right to Appeal

Redress for Abuse/Misuse of Law

Privacy

- Notice/Disclosure/Collection Limitation
- Mandatory Disclosure of Personal Data
- Choice/Consent
- Access
- Security/Integrity
 - Enforcement/Redress

Transparency

Arbitrary Application of Law

Conflicts of Interest

Accountability

Accuracy

Consumer Protection

Anti-Competitive Behavior

False Advertising

Contracts of Adhesion/Terms of Service

Unconscionable Terms

Open Innovation

[End-to-End Principle	
	Interoperability	 Freedom to Tinker
	Creative Destruction	 Distributed Responsibility
	Level Playing Field	 Self-Organizing Community
	Collaborative Production	 Reputation Economies
[Modularity	 Correctability
	Granularity	

Domestic Economic Growth

Translation

Adaptability to Local Customs

Network Effects

Open Standards

Skill Development

Thank you.

Eddan Katz

eddan@eff.org

Danny O'Brien

danny@eff.org

